Exhibit 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Case No.: 1:19-CR-00018-ABJ

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ROGER J. STONE, JR.,

Defendant.

REPLY TO MOTION TO COMPEL UNREDACTED VERSIONS OF THE CROWDSTRIKE REPORTS

Defendant, Roger J. Stone respectfully moves to compel discovery of the unredacted copies of the CrowdStrike Reports. In light of the government's representation that it does not have the unredacted reports Stone seeks, the Court should allow a Rule 17 subpoena to be issued upon CrowdStrike for those reports and other reports relating to the hacking, theft, and dissemination of DNC and DCCC data to WikiLeaks.

Paragraphs 1-8 of the Indictment illustrate that the government has adopted CrowdStrike's non-privileged work product and view that the Russian state provided DNC's data to WikiLeaks. This narrative and assumption inspired the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence ("HPSCI") investigation into the Russian state's interference in the 2016 presidential election. If the Russian state did not transfer the DNC's data to WikiLeaks, then for certain what Roger Stone said to Congress about communications with Randy Credico, Jerome Corsi, Theodore Malloch, Julian Assange, or an agent of the Russian state or WikiLeaks is not relevant or material to Congress's or any other agency's investigation.

The government makes two arguments: 1) the government does not consider the reports

Brady material; and, 2) it does not possess unredacted reports. The government did permit the defense team to review (but not have a copy of) two other CrowdStrike reports after the Court hearing on May 30, 2019. While the government may not believe the reports are exculpatory, at the very least they provided help to the defense and we request the Court order the government to provide a copy.

The 2016 Reports were created for the DNC, not for or by the government. The DNC conducted its own investigation and gave certain reports to the government. "On June 14, 2016, the DNC, via CrowdStrike, publicly announced that it had been hacked by Russian government actors." See Ellen Nakashima, Russian government hackers penetrated DNC, stole opposition Washington research on Trump, The Post (June 14, 2016), https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/russian-government-hackerspenetrated-dnc-stole-opposition-research-on-trump/2016/06/14/cf006cb4-316e-11e6-8ff7-7b6c1998b7a0 story.html?utm term=.1f579fd11c34. At the direction of the DNC and DCCC's legal counsel, CrowdStrike prepared three draft reports. Doc.123 at 1.

As Stone argues in his motion to suppress, if WikiLeaks did not receive the DNC and DCCC's data from the Russian state, then it must affect the determination of probable cause in the search warrant applications and the determination of materiality as to the obstruction and perjury charges against Stone.

If the government does not possess all the reports relating to the hacking and theft of the DNC data, then the Court should grant permission to Stone for an early return of a subpoena to CrowdStrike for all unredacted reports created by it relating to its investigation of the hacking and theft of the DNC. See Fed.R.Crim.P. 17(c)(1). See also Khouj v. Darui, 248 F.R.D. 729, 730

¹ The court may direct the witness to produce the designated items in court *before trial* or before they are to be offered into evidence. When the items arrive, the court

(D.D.C. 2008).

The Defendant is entitled to full access of these Reports as the issue of whether the DNC or DCCC was hacked is central to the Defendant's defense.

CONCLUSION

This Court should grant Defendant Stone's motion to compel, to the extent the government can provide all the CrowdStrike reports in its possession. Additionally, the Court should permit Stone to issue a subpoena to CrowdStrike pursuant to Rule 17(c)(1).

| Respectfully s | submitted, |
|----------------|------------|
|----------------|------------|

By: /s/_____

L. PETER FARKAS HALLORAN FARKAS + KITTILA, LLP DDC Bar No.: 99673 1101 30th Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007 Telephone: (202) 559-1700

Fax: (202) 257-2019 pf@hfk.law

BRUCE S. ROGOW FL Bar No.: 067999 TARA A. CAMPION FL Bar: 90944 BRUCE S. ROGOW, P.A. 100 N.E. Third Avenue, Ste. 1000 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: (954) 767-8909

Fax: (954) 764-1530 brogow@rogowlaw.com tcampion@rogowlaw.com

Admitted pro hac vice

ROBERT C. BUSCHEL BUSCHEL GIBBONS, P.A. D.D.C. Bar No. FL0039 One Financial Plaza, Suite 1300 100 S.E. Third Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394 Telephone: (954) 530-5301

Fax: (954) 320-6932 Buschel@BGlaw-pa.com GRANT J. SMITH STRATEGYSMITH, PA D.D.C. Bar No.: FL0036 FL Bar No.: 935212 401 East Las Olas Boulevard

Suite 130-120 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: (954) 328-9064

gsmith@strategysmith.com

may permit the parties and their attorneys to inspect all or part of them. Fed.R.Crim.P. 17(c)(1) (emphasis added).

CHANDLER P. ROUTMAN D.D.C. Bar No. 1618092 501 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 331 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Tele: (954) 235-8259 routmanc@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 14, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties, via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF.

/s/ Chandler Routman Chandler P. Routman

United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia

Jessie K. Liu
United States Attorney
Jonathan Kravis
Michael J. Marando
Assistant United States Attorneys
Adam C. Jed
Aaron S.J. Zalinsky
Special Assistant United States Attorneys
555 Fourth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 252-6886
Fax: (202) 651-3393